

mf

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,005	08/29/2003	Darwin Mitchel Hanks	200209014-1	9907
	7590 02/28/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			GOMA, TAWFIK A	
	ITELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION ORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/652,005	HANKS, DARWIN MITCHEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tawfik Goma	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AN	/			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. A reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 L	December 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	· 1	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-24</u> is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•			
Application Denom	·				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		. hu Alia Europiana			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
	\.	74 5 mos 7 6 mon 6 mon 7			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Intensiew	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/652,005

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the amendment filed on 12/11/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehureau et al (4025784) in view of Worthington et al (US 6760928)

Regarding claim 1, Lehureau '784 discloses a method of focus control, comprising: passing a light source beam over a reflectivity change on a storage media and on to a leading photo sensor(5, fig. 3 and fig. 10) and a trailing photo sensor (4, fig. 3 and fig. 10); determining whether the leading photo sensor or the trailing photo sensor had a first change in reflectivity (figs. 4 and 5); if the leading sensor experienced the first change in reflectivity, then adjusting a focus actuator to move a focus lens farther from the storage media (fig. 5 and col. 3 lines 25-32); and if the trailing sensor experienced the first change in reflectivity, then adjusting the focus actuator to move the focus lens closer to the storage media (fig. 4 and col. 3 lines 16-24). Lehreau discloses that the reflectivity change is from an area of more reflectivity to a an area of less reflectivity but fails to disclose wherein the reflectivity change is from reflective to non-reflective area. In the same field of endeavor, Wothington discloses a method of detecting marks on a disc wherein the disc can have a reflectivity change from reflective to non-reflective (col. 18 lines 15-20 and col. 21 lines 66-67 thru col. 22 lines 1-27). It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/652,005

Art Unit: 2627

ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Lehureau by providing a disc with marks as taught by Worthington. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invetion would have been motivated to provide the non-reflective portions in order to provide a greater contrast for detection by the sensors.

Regarding claim 2, Lehreau '784 discloses a method further comprising: if the trailing sensor and the leading sensor experienced a change in reflectivity at substantially the same time, then leaving the focus lens in a current location (figs. 1 and 6 and col. 1 lines 16-22).

Claims 3-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehureau et al (US 4025784) in view of Worthington et al (US 6760928) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Lehureau (US 2004/0027964).

Regarding claim 3, Lehreau '784 fails to discloses wherein the storage media is selected from the group consisting of compact discs and digital versatile discs. In the same field of endeavor, Lehreau '964 discloses a similar focusing device used for a CD (pars. 4 and 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the device disclosed by Lehreau '784 by using it with a CD or DVD as taught by Lehreau '964. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the focusing device for a CD or DVD in order to use a disc with greater storage capacity (see '964, par. 2)

Regarding claim 4, Lehreau '964 further discloses wherein the storage media is a removable storage media (pars. 4 and 9).

Regarding claims 6-8, Lehreau '784 fails to disclose wherein: the leading photo sensor comprises a first pair of photo sensors from a quadrature photo sensor; and the trailing photo

Art Unit: 2627

sensor comprises a second pair of photo sensors from the quadrature photo sensor. In the same field of endeavor, Lehreau '784 discloses a quadrature photodetector for focusing, wherein a first pair and a second pair are leading and lagging detectors (fig. 12 and par. 61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the device disclosed by Lehreau '784 with the quad-photodetector disclosed by Lehreau '964. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide a quad-photodetector in order to allow for calculation of a push-pull tracking error signal as well as a focusing error signal with the same detector ('964, par. 61)

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehreau (US 4025784) in view of Worthington et al (US 6760298) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above and further in view of Freeman (US 6901598).

Regarding claim 5, Lehreau fails to disclose wherein the optical disc is a non-removable disc. In the same field of endeavor, Freeman discloses wherein an optical disc used in a focus mechanism can be either removable or nonremovable (col. 1 lines 26-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the device disclosed by Lehreau to use with a nonremovable disc. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a nonremovable disc in order to prevent corruption or damage to a disc used for data storage.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tawfik Goma whose telephone number is (571) 272-4206. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,005

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

2/26/07